

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

IN RE APPLICATION OF:	ATTY. DOCKET NO.: GAMETECH.004003C
	§
MARK P. LOWELL	§
	§ EXAMINER: CARLOS, ALVIN LEABRES
	§
SERIAL NO.: 10/698,862	§ CONFIRMATION NO.: 9353
	§
FILED: NOVEMBER 3, 2003	§ ART UNIT: 3715
	§
FOR: ENHANCED BINGO GAME	§
METHOD, APPARATUS,	§
AND COMPUTER	§
PROGRAM PRODUCT	§
	§

**RESPONSE TO NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANT
APPEAL BRIEF UNDER 37 C.F.R. §41.37**

Mail Stop Appeal Briefs - Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to a Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief ("Notification") issued on March 6, 2009. No fees or extension of times are believed to be required. However, please charge any fees due to further the prosecution of this application to **Dillon & Yudell LLP Deposit Account No. 50-3083**.

REMARKS

The Notification indicates that the Appeal Brief filed on February 2, 2009, fails to comply with the regulations in that the “argument section must match the grounds section inasmuch as each grounds corresponds to a heading within the argument section.” By this paper, Applicant submits a revised “Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal” section, which separates the grounds of rejection of claims along the “Grouping of Claims” subsection and respective subheadings under the “Arguments” section.

Respectfully submitted,

/Johnfar Kerlee/
Johnfar Kerlee
Reg. No. 53,111
DILLON & YUDELL LLP
8911 N. Capital of Texas Highway
Suite 2110
Austin, Texas 78759
512-617-5516

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

GROUND OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Applicant requests review of the final rejection of Claims 27-52 and 55, as grouped below. The question with regard to each claim grouping is whether the claims are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over U.S. Patent Nos. 6,220,596 and 5,727,786:

- a) Claims 27, 32, 33, and 37-49;
- b) Claim 28;
- c) Claims 29, 30, 34-36, and 50-52;
- d) Claim 31; and
- e) Claim 55.

Each group will be argued separately, and the claims of each group above stand or fall together.